## WRITTEN ANSWERS TO STARRED QUESTIONS

### Work place hazard for women

*66. SHRI JANARDHANA POOJARY: Will the Minister of LABOUR AND EMPLOYMENT be pleased to state:

(a) whether work-place hazard is high for women industrial workers, particularly those associated with Call Centres and BPOs;

(b) if so, the details in this regard; and

(c) what measures are proposed to be taken to safeguard the interest of female workers in such organizations particularly working in nightshirts?

THE MINISTER OF LABOUR AND EMPLOYMENT (SHRI K. CHANDRASEKHAR RAO): (a) and (b) No, Sir.

(c) the BPO sector and the Call Centres fall under the State Sphere. State Governments have provided exemption under their respective shop and Establishments Act to establishments in the Information Technology and Information Technology enabled Services, to enable them to engage female workers at night, subject to certain conditions meant to safeguard the safety and interest of female workers.

Whenever there is any violation of labour laws, appropriate action is taken by the State Government concerned in accordance with these laws.

### Central outlay in PMGSY

*67. SHRI DARA SINGH: Will the Minister of RURAL DEVELOPMENT be pleased to state:

(a) the amount of Central outlay in the Pradhan Mantri Gram Sadak Yojana (PMGSY) allocated to different States in the country during the years 2004-05,2005-06 and 2006-07;

(b) what is the amount of money released unspent, State-wise and

(c) the targets achieved so far particularly in respect of the States of Maharashtra and Punjab?

THE MINISTER OF RURAL DEVELOPMENT (DR. RAGHUVANSH PRASAD SINGH): (a) to (c) The Pradhan Mantri Gram Sadak Yojana (PMGSY) is not an allocation based programme. However, normative annual allocations are intimated to State to enable them to formulate proposals of

appropriate size. A Table indicating year-wise normative allocation and release of funds to States under PMGSY during 2004-2005 and 2005-06, State-wise, is given in the enclosed Statement (See below). The funds for 2006-07 have not yet been allocated.

2. The Ministry of Rural Development has so far cleared proposals of States/Union Territories for coverage of 45583 eligible habitations. According to reports so far received from States/Union Territories, against 46643 road works approved by the Ministry of Rural Development, 29564 road works have been completed. Against 149421 Km. of road works approved, 82792 Km. have been constructed.

3. The number of habitations cleared for coverage and the targets achieved so far in terms of road works in respect of Maharashtra and Punjab are given below:

| | No. of Habitations | Number of road work approved | Number of road works completed | Length of road works approved (Km.) | Length of road works constructed (km.) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| Maharashtra | 888 | 2158 | 1486 | 5146.84 | 3144.77 |
| Punjab | 418 | 508 | 398 | 1282.78 | 781.00 |

***Statement***

*Normative allocation and release Under PMGSY in* 2004-05 *and* 2005-06

(Rs. Crore)

| SI. No. | State | 2004-05 | | 2005-06 | |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| | | Normative Allocation | Release | Normative Allocation | Release (upto 20.2.2006) |
| 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 |
| 1 | Andhra Pradesh | 90 | 88.97 | 100 | 187.69 |
| 2 | Arunachal Pradesh | 35 | 0.00 | 52 | 53.82 |
| 3 | Assam | 75 | 164.52 | 176 | 190.29 |
| 4 | Bihar | 150 | 29.58 | 332 | 181.56 |
| 5 | Chhattisgarh | 87 | 218.68 | 330 | 275.07 |
| 6 | Goa | 5 | 0.00 | 5 | 0.0.. |
| 7 | Gujarat | 50 | 0.00 | 60 | 70.56 |
| 8 | Haryana | 20 | 28.60 | 25 | 20.56 |
| 9 | Himachal Pradesh | 60 | 13.95 | 132 | 167.14 |
| 10 | Jammu& Kashmir | 20 | 20.00 | 60 | 70.35 |
| 11 | Jharkhand | 110 | 0.00 | 195 | 150.49 |
| 12 | Karnataka | 95 | 0.00 | 105 | 143.02 |

| 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| 13 | Kerala | 20 | 10.39 | 25 | 42.41 |
| 14 | Madhya Pradesh | 213 | 260.96 | 535 | 341.29 |
| 15 | Maharashtra | 130 | 0.00 | 140 | 70.34 |
| 16 | Manipur | 20 | 18.00 | 28 | 0.00 |
| 17 | Meghalaya | 35 | 0.00 | 40 | 0.00 |
| 18 | Mizoram | 20 | 47.85 | 27 | 0.00 |
| 19 | Nagaland | 20 | 18.00 | 25 | 0.00 |
| 20 | Orissa | 175 | 178.75 | 268 | 212.09 |
| 21 | Punjab | 25 | 0.00 | 30 | 48.90 |
| 22 | Rajasthan | 130 | 653.94 | 286 | 428.10 |
| 23 | Skkim | 20 | 0.00 | 25 | 25.00 |
| 24 | Tamil Nadu | 80 | 79.78 | 85 | 58.95 |
| 25 | Tripura | 25 | 0.00 | 35 | 21.76 |
| 26 | Uttar Pradesh | 315 | 328.76 | 445 | 643.86 |
| 27 | Uttaranchal | 60 | 0.00 | 95 | 0.00 |
| 28 | West Bengal | 135 | 275.90 | 221 | 345.58 |
| | Total | 2220 | 2436.64 | 3882.00 | 374882 |

**Multi-Purpose National Identity Cards**

*68. SHRI RAMA MUNI REDDY SIRIGIREDDY:
SHRI K. RAMA MOHANA RAO:

Will the Minister of HOME AFFAIRS be pleased to refer to reply to Starred Question 5 given in the Rajya Sabha on 23rd November, 2005, and state:

(a) which are the complexities that the Government of India is issuing Multipurpose National Identity Cards;

(b) the details of States in which this project has been undertaken on pilot basis; and

(c) when such a pilot project was started and whether any time-frame has been fixed within which the project is going to be completed?

THE MINISTER OF STATE IN THE MINISTRY OF HOME AFFAIRS (SHRI S. REGUPATHY): (a) The Multipurpose National Identity Cards involves complex process and technological issues. The process and methodology require collection of personal data, photographs and finger biometry, integration of data, verification of citizenship as per Indian Citizenships Act, 1955, preparation of National Population Register and allocation of National Identity Number (NIN) to each and every citizen. The